September 30, 2009

The Honorable Charles Rangel
Chairman
House Ways & Means Committee
1102 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC  20515

The Honorable Dave Camp
Ranking Member
House Ways & Means Committee
1139 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC  20515

Dear Chairman Rangel and Ranking Member Camp:

The undersigned colleges and universities urge the House and Senate to remedy an outdated IRS record-keeping requirement governing use of employer-provided cell phones and other electronic communication devices. Current IRS rules impose an onerous burden on higher education and other employers across the country.

To correct this outdated policy, which treats employer-provided cell phones as a taxable fringe benefit, Representatives Earl Pomeroy (D-ND) and Sam Johnson (R-TX) and Senators John Kerry (D-MA) and John Ensign (R-NV) have introduced the MOBILE Cell Phone Act of 2009 (H.R. 690 and S. 144). A similar proposal was approved by the House in April 2008 as part of the Taxpayer Assistance and Simplification Act, but was never enacted.

In 1989, when Congress passed legislation treating employer-provided cell phones as a fringe benefit similar to company cars and other executive perks, cell phone technology was in its infancy and no one imagined they would become the critical tools of convenience for business and daily life they are today. In 1989, they were big and bulky, expensive and rarely used. Today, they are practically an appendage. They are much less expensive to use and practically no one goes anywhere without their cell phone.

Because the 1989 cell phone law has not been updated to keep pace with changes in technology and business practices, the current IRS regulations consider employer-provided cell phones to be a fringe benefit taxable to the employee. Recently the Service began enforcing these rules requiring employees to maintain detailed records of their business and personal use of employer-provided cell phones, BlackBerrys and similar devices. If these records are not kept, current law recognizes cell phones as a fringe benefit, the value of which must be included as taxable income to the employee, increasing the tax burdens and costs for both employers and employees.

As Senator Kerry points out, “the tax code should keep pace with technological advances. There is no longer a reason that cell phones and mobile communication devices should be treated differently than office phones or computers.”

Even the IRS now acknowledges that the current law is burdensome, and on June 16th, IRS Commissioner Doug Shulman said he was joining with Treasury Secretary Tim Geithner to urge Congress to repeal current law. “The passage of time, advances in technology and the nature of communication in the modern workplace,” Shulman said, “have rendered this law obsolete.”

We agree with the IRS that it makes no sense for the tax rules to treat employer-provided desk phones in offices any differently than employer-provided cell phones and electronic communications devices. Both are necessary communications tools to conduct work efficiently.
Under the current rules governing cell phones, institutions of higher education and all businesses face tax penalties if they do not require employees to keep detailed logs of their cell phone use.

We respectfully ask the Congress to approve the MOBILE Cell Phone Act of 2009 and to relieve higher education and employers across America of these outdated, unreasonable, and costly record-keeping requirements.
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cc:  Members of the House Ways & Means Committee